

MONTANA STATE PARKS AND RECREATION BOARDAGENDA ITEM COVER SHEET

Meeting Date: August 20, 2015

Agenda Item: Capital Projects Over \$5,000

Division: Parks

Action Needed: Approval

Time Needed on Agenda for this Presentation: 10 minutes

Background (Brief description of the issue, decision to be made, history, etc.):

Per the Parks and Recreation Board Policy adopted in December 2013, Board approval is required for expenses exceeding \$5,000 within the Parks Capital Program. The projects coming before the board today include:

<u>Ph. II – Facility Condition Inventory (FCI) Effort</u> – with the completion of the initial FCI effort for 14 Park sites and the resulting beneficial information it produced, the decision has been made to proceed with a second FCI effort. This second FCI review will involve approximately 20 park sites not previously reviewed by the private consultant firm. Thus, when complete a total of approximately 34 of the 55 Montana State Park sites will have had a consultant team's independent review of the existing facilities and infrastructure. The same private engineering firm will conduct Ph. II review.

The cost for the Ph. II FCI effort is anticipated to be approximately \$140,000. On a per-park basis, this is slightly less than the cost of the initial FCI efforts conducted a few months ago.

Additionally, the division is seeking approval to rescind a previously approved \$300,000 project intended to build a waterline in cooperation with the city of Glendive to the Makoshika state park campground. The division is requesting permission to redirect these monies to address immediate health and safety infrastructure repairs identified in the FCI report presented to the park board during the meeting in Dillon. These projects will include but are not limited to: Electrical repairs and upgrades, Septic system replacements and water supply repairs.

Public Involvement Process & Results (Brief description of the type of public involvement and summary of what we heard from the public):

No public involvement is necessary or planned concerning the funding for these maintenance oriented operational purposes which bring the division into greater compliance with the law.

Alternatives and Analysis (Brief description of alternative solutions with analysis of the pros and cons of each):

Alt. #1 – approval of the proposed capital funding expenditure and redirection.

Alt. #2 – modified approval for the expenditure of capital funds in some manner for the proposed projects.

Agency Recommendation and Rationale (Brief description of our recommendation to the Board and the reasons for it):

It is recommended that the Board approve the proposed costs.

Proposed Motion (Draft language the Board could use to adopt the agency recommendation):

I move to approve the Division's proposal to commit additional capital funds to the Facility Condition Inventory project and redirection of the Makoshika waterline monies for immediate public health and safety repairs.